arXiv:1403.6909v1 [math.SG] 27 Mar 2014

Local analytic geometry of generalized complex structures

Michael Bailey* Marco Gualtieri†

A generalized complex manifold is locally gauge-equivalent to the product of a holomorphic Poisson manifold with a real symplectic manifold, but in possibly many different ways. In this paper we show that the isomorphism class of the holomorphic Poisson structure occurring in this local model is independent of the choice of gauge equivalence, and is hence the unique local invariant of generalized complex manifolds. We use this result to prove that the complex locus of a generalized complex manifold naturally inherits the structure of a complex analytic space.

1 Introduction

A generalized complex structure $\mathbb{J}$ on a smooth manifold M is a complex structure on the bundle $TM \oplus T^*M$ that is involutive for the Courant bracket [7, 5]. Two such structures are isomorphic when they are related by a Courant automorphism, which is the composition of a diffeomorphism of M with a bundle automorphism of $TM \oplus T^*M$ induced by a closed 2-form known as a B-field gauge transformation. The gauge transformation induced by the closed 2-form B is given by

$$e^B \cdot (X + \xi) = X + \xi + i_X B, \qquad X + \xi \in TM \oplus T^*M.$$

The simplest examples of generalized complex structures are those induced by a usual complex structure I or a symplectic structure ω, and have the form

$$\mathbb{J}_I = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I^* \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbb{J}_\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \\ \omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.1}$$

The example we shall focus on in this paper is induced by a holomorphic Poisson structure (I, σ), consisting of a complex structure I and a holomorphic bivector field σ satisfying the Poisson condition $[\sigma, \sigma] = 0$. Decomposing into real and imaginary parts, we have $\sigma = IQ + iQ$, for Q a real Poisson structure; the induced generalized complex structure is then

$$\mathbb{J}_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} I & Q \\ 0 & -I^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.2}$$

*bailey@cirget.ca
†mgualt@math.toronto.edu

The appearance of the real Poisson structure Q is a general phenomenon: for any generalized complex structure $\mathbb{J}$, the bundle map $\pi_{TM} \circ \mathbb{J}|_{T^*M} : T^*M \longrightarrow TM$ defines a Poisson structure $Q_{\mathbb{J}}$ whose rank partially controls the local geometry.

It was shown recently that generalized complex manifolds are locally isomorphic to a product of the examples above. Building on work in [5] and [1] on the local classification problem, Bailey obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.1 ([2]). *Let $(M, \mathbb{J})$ be a generalized complex $2n$-manifold, and let p be a point where $Q_{\mathbb{J}}$ has rank $2n-2k$. Then there exists a holomorphic Poisson structure σ defined on a neighbourhood U of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^k$ and vanishing at zero, such that at p, $(M, \mathbb{J})$ is locally isomorphic to $(U, \mathbb{J}_\sigma) \times (\mathbb{R}^{2n-2k}, \mathbb{J}_\omega)$ at $(0, 0)$, where ω is the Darboux symplectic form.*

It does not follow from the above theorem that the holomorphic isomorphism class of the germ of the Poisson structure σ at zero is uniquely determined by $\mathbb{J}$. This is because, as explained in [4], one may generally find gauge transformations relating two holomorphic Poisson structures (I, σ), (J, τ) which are not holomorphically equivalent. That is, one may find a real closed 2-form B such that

$$e^B \begin{pmatrix} I & Q \\ 0 & -I^* \end{pmatrix} e^{-B} = \begin{pmatrix} J & Q \\ 0 & -J^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.3}$$

where the imaginary parts of σ and τ, forced to coincide by the above condition, are denoted by Q. In general, Equation 1.3, while it does express isomorphism as generalized complex structures, does not imply the existence of a biholomorphic map taking (I, σ) to (J, τ).

Despite this concern, we shall prove in Corollary 3.2 that two germs of holomorphic Poisson structures near a point p which vanish at p are holomorphically equivalent if and only if their induced generalized complex structures are isomorphic. An immediate corollary is that the local structure of a generalized complex manifold is completely characterized by the holomorphic equivalence class of such a germ.

The complex locus of a generalized complex manifold is the vanishing locus of $Q_{\mathbb{J}}$, and so by Theorem 1.1, it can be described locally as the zero set of a holomorphic Poisson structure. As an application of our results, we prove in Theorem 4.2 that the analytic structure inherited by the complex locus by this local description is globally well-defined, rendering it into a complex analytic space.

Acknowledgements: We thank Gil Cavalcanti and Pierre Milman for helpful discussions. This research was supported by an NSERC Discovery grant.

2 Interpolation of holomorphic Poisson structures

Our main technical tool, proven in Appendix A, is the following parametrized version of Theorem 1.1:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{J}_t$, $t \in [0,1]$ be a smooth family of generalized complex structures on a manifold M that are all of complex type at the point $p \in M$. Then, in a neighbourhood of p, there is a smooth family of gauge transformations by closed 2-forms B_t which renders $\mathbb{J}_t$ isomorphic to a smooth family of holomorphic Poisson structures (I_t, σ_t) with $\sigma_t(p) = 0$ for all t.*

Furthermore, if $\mathbb{J}_0$ and $\mathbb{J}_1$ are already of holomorphic Poisson type (1.2), then the family B_t may be chosen such that $B_0 = B_1 = 0$.

We use this result as follows. Suppose that $p \in M$ is a point where $\mathbb{J}$ has complex type, and that we use Theorem 1.1 to find, in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of p, gauge transformations by two-forms B_0 and B_1 rendering $\mathbb{J}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{J}_{\sigma_0}$ and $\mathbb{J}_{\sigma_1}$ respectively, where (I_0, σ_0) and (I_1, σ_1) are holomorphic Poisson structures with $\sigma_0(p) = \sigma_1(p) = 0$. It follows immediately that the gauge transformation by the two-form $B = B_1 - B_0$ satisfies

$$e^B \mathbb{J}_{\sigma_0} e^{-B} = \mathbb{J}_{\sigma_1},$$

and more importantly, we may scale the gauge action to obtain a smooth family of generalized complex structures

$$\mathbb{J}_t = e^{tB} \mathbb{J}_{\sigma_0} e^{-tB},$$

which interpolate between $\mathbb{J}_{\sigma_0}$ and $\mathbb{J}_{\sigma_1}$.

We may now apply Theorem 2.1 to obtain a family of two-forms $\tilde{B}_t$ that transforms $\mathbb{J}_t$ into an interpolating family of holomorphic Poisson structures, i.e.

$$e^{\tilde{B}_t} \mathbb{J}_t e^{-\tilde{B}_t} = \mathbb{J}_{\sigma_t},$$

where (I_t, σ_t) is a family of holomorphic Poisson structures with $\sigma_t(p) = 0$ for all t.

Corollary 2.2. *Let (I_0, σ_0) and (I_1, σ_1) be holomorphic Poisson structures with $\sigma_0(p) = \sigma_1(p) = 0$ and with gauge-equivalent associated generalized complex structures $\mathbb{J}_{\sigma_0}$, $\mathbb{J}_{\sigma_1}$. Then there exists a family of gauge transformations $B_t, t \in [0,1]$, defined in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of p, such that*

$$e^{B_t} \mathbb{J}_{\sigma_0} e^{-B_t} = \mathbb{J}_{\sigma_t} \tag{2.1}$$

is the generalized complex structure associated to a family $(I_t, \sigma_t), t \in [0,1]$, of holomorphic Poisson structures interpolating between the given pair.

3 Holomorphic equivalence from gauge equivalence

Let (I_t, σ_t), $t \in [0,1]$, be a smooth family of holomorphic Poisson structures which, as in Corollary 2.2, are all gauge-equivalent, in the sense that we have a smooth family of real closed two-forms B_t such that Equation 2.1 holds. Explicitly, this gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ B_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_0 & Q \\ 0 & -I_0^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -B_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_t & Q \\ 0 & -I_t^* \end{pmatrix},$$

which is equivalent to the pair of equations, studied in [4]:

$$I_0 - QB_t = I_t \tag{3.1}$$

$$I_0^* B_t + B_t I_t = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Differentiating (3.1), we obtain the variation of the complex structure:

$$\dot{I}_t = -Q\dot{B}_t. \tag{3.3}$$

Differentiating (3.2) and using (3.1), we obtain

$$I_t^* \dot{B}_t + \dot{B}_t I_t = 0,$$

meaning that $\dot{B}_t$ is of type $(1,1)$ with respect to the complex structure I_t. Since B_t, and hence $\dot{B}_t$, is also real and closed, we may find, in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the point p, a smooth family of real-valued functions f_t such that

$$\dot{B}_t = dd_t^c f_t,$$

where d_t^c is the operator $i(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ associated to the complex structure I_t. In view of (3.3), this implies that

$$\dot{I}_t = -Qdd_t^c f_t. \tag{3.4}$$

We now make use of a fundamental identity which holds for any holomorphic Poisson structure (I, σ) with $\sigma = IQ + iQ$: for a smooth real-valued function f with Hamiltonian vector field $X_f = -Qdf$, the complex structure has Lie derivative

$$L_{X_f} I = -Qdd^c f.$$

Finally, combining this with (3.4), we see that

$$\dot{I}_t = L_{X_{f_t}} I_t.$$

If, as in Corollary 2.2, Q vanishes at p, then the flow of X_{f_t} is well-defined for all $t \in [0,1]$ in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of p. Therefore, the flow of the time-dependent Hamiltonian vector field X_{f_t} defines a family of diffeomorphisms φ_t taking (I_0, σ_0) to (I_t, σ_t) for all t. This establishes the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (I_0, σ_0) and (I_1, σ_1) be holomorphic Poisson structures in a neighbourhood of the point p, with $\sigma_0(p) = \sigma_1(p) = 0$ and with gauge-equivalent associated generalized complex structures $\mathbb{J}_{\sigma_0}, \mathbb{J}_{\sigma_1}$. Then there exists a Hamiltonian flow φ_t, defined in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of p, such that*

$$\varphi_t(I_0) = I_t \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi_t(\sigma_0) = \sigma_t, \tag{3.5}$$

implying the holomorphic equivalence of (I_t, σ_t) for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Combining this result with Corollary 2.2, we obtain our main result, which ensures that the holomorphic isomorphism class of the holomorphic Poisson structure σ in Theorem 1.1 is unique.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $(M, \mathbb{J})$ be a generalized complex manifold and let $\mathbb{J}$ be of complex type at $p \in M$. If the germ of $\mathbb{J}$ at p is isomorphic to the generalized complex structure determined by each of two holomorphic Poisson germs σ_0, σ_1 at p, then σ_0 and σ_1 must be equivalent as holomorphic Poisson structures.*

4 Analytic structure of the complex locus

We first recall the holomorphic version of the notion of a scheme in algebraic geometry.

Definition 4.1. A *complex analytic space* is a ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ that is locally isomorphic to the zero locus of a finite set of holomorphic functions in finitely many variables, equipped with the quotient sheaf of the ideal generated by these functions.

Let $(M, \mathbb{J})$ be a generalized complex manifold, and let $X \subset M$ be its *complex locus*, consisting of the points where the Poisson structure Q vanishes, and hence where $\mathbb{J}$ has the form (1.1) of a usual complex structure.

By Theorem 1.1, each point $p \in X$ has a neighbourhood U in which $\mathbb{J}$ is gauge-equivalent to a holomorphic Poisson structure (I, σ), with I a complex structure on U and σ a holomorphic Poisson structure on U such that

$$\sigma = IQ|_U + iQ|_U.$$

The complex locus $X_U = X \cap U$ then coincides with the vanishing locus of the holomorphic section σ, and so inherits a complex analytic space structure in which

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_U} = \mathcal{O}_U / \mathcal{I}_{X_U}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_U$ is the sheaf of I-holomorphic functions on U and $\mathcal{I}_{X_U}$ is the vanishing ideal of σ, defined as the image sheaf of σ acting on holomorphic two-forms:

$$\sigma : \Omega^2_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U.$$

Theorem 4.2. *The complex locus X naturally inherits the structure of a complex analytic space, such that if $\mathbb{J}$ is realized locally as a holomorphic Poisson structure σ, then the complex analytic space structure on X coincides with that on the vanishing locus of σ.*

Proof. We demonstrate this by showing that the structure sheaf (4.1) is independent of the choice of local realization of $\mathbb{J}$ as a holomorphic Poisson structure. More precisely, we show that if U and V are neighbourhoods as above, in which $\mathbb{J}$ is gauge equivalent to the holomorphic Poisson structures (I,σ), (J,τ) respectively, with corresponding structure sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{X_U}, \mathcal{O}_{X_V}$ as in (4.1), then there is a canonical sheaf isomorphism

$$\varphi_{V,U} : \mathcal{O}_{X_U}|_{U\cap V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_V}|_{U\cap V},$$

which satisfies the gluing condition

$$\varphi_{W,V} \circ \varphi_{V,U} = \varphi_{W,U} \tag{4.2}$$

for any triple of neighbourhoods U, V, W as above. Finally, we may cover X by open sets $\{U_i\}$ of the above form, and apply the gluing theorem for sheaves [10, §6.33] to the local gluing data $\{\mathcal{O}_{X_{U_i}}, \varphi_{U_j,U_i}\}$ to obtain the required structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ of the complex locus.

To construct $\varphi_{V,U}$, let $p \in U \cap V$, and let $\tilde{\varphi}_p$ be a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism, as in Theorem 3.1, which defines an isomorphism of holomorphic Poisson structures from $(I,\sigma)|_{U_p}$ to $(J,\tau)|_{\varphi_p(U_p)}$, where $U_p \subset U\cap V$ is a neighbourhood of p. Recall that $\tilde{\varphi}_p$ is the time-1 flow of a Hamiltonian vector field for the real Poisson structure Q, hence it fixes $X_p = X \cap U_p$ pointwise, and since it takes σ to τ, it induces an isomorphism of sheaves

$$\varphi_p : \mathcal{O}_{X_U}|_{X_p} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_V}|_{X_p}.$$

We now prove that φ_p is independent of the particular Hamiltonian flow $\tilde{\varphi}_p$ used to interpolate between (I,σ) and (J,τ). Indeed, let $\tilde{\varphi}_p, \tilde{\varphi}'_p$ be two such flows, generated by time-dependent Hamiltonians f_t, f'_t respectively, and defined in a neighbourhood $U_p \subset U\cap V$ of p. If h_0 is an I-holomorphic function on U_p, then the resulting pullbacks differ by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta h_1 = \tilde{\varphi}'^{*}_p h - \tilde{\varphi}^{*}_p h &= \int_0^1 \left(L_{X_{f'_t}} h'_t - L_{X_{f_t}} h_t \right) dt \\ &= i_Q \int_0^1 \left(df'_t \wedge dh'_t - df_t \wedge dh_t \right) dt.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Δh_1 lies in the vanishing ideal of Q in the smooth complex-valued functions on an open neighbourhood of $X_p = U_p \cap X$. Since $Q = \mathrm{im}(\sigma)$, this

vanishing ideal coincides with the ideal generated by σ and $\bar{\sigma}$, and we may apply Malgrange's criterion [8, VI, Theorem 1.1.] for ideal membership to deduce that the holomorphic function Δh_1 lies in the vanishing ideal generated by σ alone (in the smooth functions). To complete the argument, we must show that Δh_1 lies in the ideal of σ in the holomorphic functions, so that $\tilde{\varphi}_p'^* h$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_p^* h$ coincide in the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_V}|_{X_p}$, proving that the induced map φ_p is independent of the chosen flow.

Let $I = (\sigma)$ be the vanishing ideal of σ in the ring R of convergent holomorphic power series centered on p. Since Δh_1 is in the smooth ideal generated by σ, its Taylor series about p lies in the ideal $I\hat{R}$ generated by σ in the completion of R, i.e. the ring $\hat{R}$ of formal power series in holomorphic coordinates centered on p. By Krull's theorem [11, IV,§7.], we have the identity

$$R \cap (I\hat{R}) = I,$$

proving that Δh_1 lies in the holomorphic vanishing ideal of σ near each point of $U \cap V$, as required.

The same argument may be used to show that isomorphisms φ_p, φ_q defined as above in neighbourhoods X_p, X_q of points $p, q \in X$ actually coincide on $X_p \cap X_q$, and so glue together to define the required sheaf isomorphism $\varphi_{V,U}$.

It remains to verify the cocycle condition (4.2). By the results above, in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $p \in U \cap V \cap W$, we may express $\varphi_{V,U}$ and $\varphi_{V,W}$ as morphisms induced by Hamiltonian flows: let $\tilde{\varphi}_p(t)$ be the Hamiltonian flow of f_t which takes (I, σ) to (J, τ) after unit time, and let $\tilde{\psi}_p(t)$ be the flow of g_t, which similarly takes (J, τ) to (K, μ). The composition of flows $\tilde{\rho}_p(t) = \tilde{\psi}_p(t) \circ \tilde{\varphi}_p(t)$ is also a Q-Hamiltonian flow, for the time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$h_t = g_t + \psi(t)_* f_t.$$

By definition, the unit time flows satisfy $\tilde{\rho}_p(1) = \tilde{\psi}_p(1) \circ \tilde{\varphi}_p(1)$, and so we obtain $\rho_p = \psi_p \circ \varphi_p$ for the induced sheaf isomorphisms from $\mathcal{O}_{X_U}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{X_W}$ in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of each point $p \in U \cap V \cap W$, as required. □

A Normal form for families of generalized complex structures

The purpose of this appendix is to sketch the proof of Theorem 2.1, which is a parametrized version of Theorem 1.1. We briefly review the methods used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and discuss how the argument passes to families. We shall not reproduce technical details which can be found in [2].

A.1 The SCI framework and the normal form lemma

The proof of Theorem 1.1 in [2] uses a general technical lemma which enables one to show that a given geometric structure is equivalent to one in "normal form" in a suitably small neighbourhood of a point p. The lemma, [2, Theorem 4.17], is an extension of the results of Miranda, Monnier and Zung [9], which encapsulate a technique used by Conn [3] in the linearization of Poisson structures, which was itself a version of the Nash-Moser fast convergence technique adapted to spaces of local sections about a point.

To do this, one shows that there is a local automorphism of the space which takes the original structure to one which is *approximately* in normal form; by iterating this approximation one finds, in the limit, a local automorphism taking the original structure to one precisely in normal form. To establish the limit one uses the technique of Nash and Moser [6], except that one must take care of the fact that, since one is working in neighbourhoods of p, at each step the automorphism may necessitate restricting to a smaller neighbourhood. With suitable estimates, one controls how quickly the neighbourhood shrinks in this iteration, and shows that in the limit one gets a neighbourhood of positive radius. This innovation is due to Conn [3].

Miranda, Monnier and Zung develop the framework of *SCI-spaces*, short for "scaled C^∞" spaces. We briefly summarize the framework and the lemma, focusing on the modifications required for the generalization to families. For full details, consult [2, Section 4] and [9]. For the general theory of tame Fréchet spaces, smoothing operators and the Nash-Moser technique, consult the notes of Hamilton [6].

A.1.1 SCI-spaces

An *SCI-space* $\mathcal{V}$ is a radius-parametrized collection of *tame Fréchet spaces*. That is, for each $r \in (0,1]$ there is a Fréchet space $\mathcal{V}_r$ with a nondecreasing sequence of norms $\|\cdot\|_{0,r}$, $\|\cdot\|_{1,r}$, $\|\cdot\|_{2,r}$, ... and *smoothing operators* $S_r(t)$ for real $t > 1$; the smoothing operators must satisfy certain well-known estimates. Furthermore, there is a *radius restriction map* from $\mathcal{V}_r$ to $\mathcal{V}_{r'}$ whenever $r \geq r'$, and all diagrams of restriction maps commute. Using these restrictions, we may identify a vector v in $\mathcal{V}_r$ with its preimages at larger radii. Finally, we

impose the condition that the norms $\|v\|_{k,r}$ are nondecreasing in r (as well as in k). When the radius is clear from context, we often omit it and simply write $\|v\|_k$.

The prototypical example of an SCI-space is given by the local sections of a vector bundle V with connection about a point p in a Riemannian manifold. Each $\mathcal{V}_r$ consists of the smooth sections of V restricted to a closed ball of radius r centered at p, equipped with the usual C^k norms.

A.1.2 SCI-groups and actions

An *SCI-group* $\mathcal{G}$ is an SCI-space $\mathcal{W}$ intended to model local diffeomorphisms; $\mathcal{W}$ is equipped with an associative partial composition as well as an identity element Id. Whenever $\|\varphi - \mathrm{Id}\|_{1,r}$ and $\|\psi - \mathrm{Id}\|_{1,r}$ are small enough (where the bound depends on r), the product $\varphi \cdot \psi$ is well-defined (at a certain radius $r' < r$). The product commutes with restriction, and inverses exist, but once again only at a smaller radius, and only if $\|\varphi - \mathrm{Id}\|_{1,r}$ is small enough. Finally, the product and inverse operations must satisfy certain norm estimates (given in [2]).

A typical example of an SCI-group is given by the local diffeomorphisms fixing the origin in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this case, we may take $\mathcal{W}_r$ to be the smooth functions χ from the closed ball of radius r to $\mathbb{R}^n$ which vanish at the origin and are such that $\varphi = \mathrm{Id} + \chi$ is a local diffeomorphism. The example which we use in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the SCI-group of local Courant automorphisms, which consist of diffeomorphisms composed with B-field gauge transformations.

There is also a notion of the action of an SCI-group on an SCI-space, with a similar accounting for radius restrictions, and also satisfying tameness estimates. The typical example of an SCI-action is the action of local diffeomorphisms on local tensor fields by pushforward or pullback. In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we use the action of local Courant automorphisms on local deformations of generalized complex structure.

A.1.3 The normal form lemma

We now present an outline of the main lemma [2, Theorem 4.17], including the intended interpretations of the spaces and maps involved. The lemma is applied in a context where the geometric structures in question are described as sections of a vector bundle satisfying an integrability condition such as the Maurer-Cartan equation. We refer to such sections as *pre-integrable* if we do not impose the integrability condition. For simplicity, we assume that the desired normal form of the geometric structure may be expressed as a constraint on the pre-integrable section, followed by imposing the integrability condition. Note that we are using "normal form" to mean a geometric structure satisfying

a constraint, rather than having a fixed representation in local coordinates.

Lemma A.1. *Suppose we have the following SCI-spaces:*

- $\mathcal{T}$ *(the pre-integrable geometric structures),*
- $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ *(the pre-integrable structures in normal form),*
- $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{T}$ *containing* 0 *(the integrable structures),*
- $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{I}$ *(the integrable structures in normal form), and*
- $\mathcal{V}$ *(the infinitesimal automorphisms),*

and let $\mathcal{G}$ *be an SCI-group (the local automorphisms) which acts on* $\mathcal{T}$*, preserving* $\mathcal{I}$*. Let* $\pi : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ *be a projection, and define* $\zeta = Id - \pi$*, which measures the failure to be in normal form. Suppose we have maps*

$$\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{V} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{G},$$

where V *provides an infinitesimal automorphism whose time-1 flow, given by* Φ*, should bring a given structure closer to normal form.*

Suppose furthermore that these maps satisfy the set of estimates given in [2, Theorem 4.17], including in particular that there is some $\delta > 0$ *and* $s \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that, for any* $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{I}$*,*

$$\left\| \zeta\left(\Phi_{V(\varepsilon)} \cdot \varepsilon\right) \right\|_k \leq \|\zeta(\varepsilon)\|_{k+s}^{1+\delta} E\left(\zeta(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, \Phi_{V(\varepsilon)} - Id\right), \tag{A.1}$$

where E *is a polynomial in the* $(k+s)$*-norms of its arguments with positive coefficients.*

Then there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ *and real positive constants* α, β*, such that for any* $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{I}_R$*, if* $\|\varepsilon\|_{2l-1,R} < \alpha$ *and* $\|\zeta(\varepsilon)\|_{l,R} < \beta$ *then there exists* $\psi \in \mathcal{G}$ *such that* $\psi \cdot \varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}_{R/2}$*.*

Remark A.2. The omitted estimates relate to the Fréchet *tameness* of the various maps. We highlight estimate (A.1) because it expresses the key fact that the iteration

$$\varepsilon \longmapsto \Phi_{V(\varepsilon)} \cdot \varepsilon$$

shrinks the error "quadratically" (strictly speaking, by the power $(1+\delta)$). The estimates, including (A.1), have the property that some derivatives are lost, i.e., the right hand sides involve higher derivative norms. To ensure convergence in spite of this problem, the naive iteration is modified as per Nash-Moser by applying smoothing operators to $V(\varepsilon)$ at each stage.

A.1.4 Application to generalized complex structures

We now describe how Lemma A.1 is used to prove Theorem 1.1.

Given a point of complex type on a generalized complex manifold, a scaling argument [2, Section 7] shows that this point has neighbourhoods in which the generalized complex structure is equivalent to arbitrarily small generalized complex deformations of the standard complex structure on some ball $B_r \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, with the property that the deformation is trivial at the center of the ball.

To establish Theorem 1.1, it remains to show that an arbitrarily small such deformation of $B_r \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is equivalent (on a possibly smaller ball) to a holomorphic Poisson structure. It is at this point that the Nash–Moser iteration scheme on SCI spaces is invoked [2]. A generalized complex deformation ε of the usual complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ is a section with three components [2, Section 2.2]:

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{2,0} &\in C^\infty(\wedge^2 T_{1,0}),\\ \varepsilon^{1,1} &\in C^\infty(T_{1,0} \otimes T^*_{0,1}),\\ \varepsilon^{0,2} &\in C^\infty(\wedge^2 T^*_{0,1}),\end{aligned}$$

satisfying a Maurer-Cartan equation which generalizes the one governing deformations of complex structure. The relevant SCI spaces, defined on closed balls B_r centered at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, are defined as follows:

- $\mathcal{T}$ consists of pre-integrable deformations ε of the above form.
- $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{T}$ consists of the integrable (Maurer-Cartan) deformations.
- $\mathcal{F}$ are pre-integrable deformations of bivector type, i.e. with vanishing $\varepsilon^{1,1}$ and $\varepsilon^{0,2}$, so that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{I}$ are holomorphic Poisson tensors.
- $\mathcal{G}$ are Courant automorphisms fixing $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- $\mathcal{V}$ are the generalized vector fields $C^\infty(T \oplus T^*)$, and Φ is their time-1 flow, described in [2, Section 2.3].

The projection map $\pi : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is defined by $\varepsilon \longmapsto \varepsilon^{2,0}$, and so $\zeta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{1,1} + \varepsilon^{0,2}$. On B_r, the Dolbeault complex admits a homotopy operator P, so that $\mathrm{Id} = P \circ \bar\partial + \bar\partial \circ P$. This may be used to define the infinitesimal correction

$$V(\varepsilon) = P\left(\left[\varepsilon^{2,0}, P\varepsilon^{0,2}\right] - \varepsilon^{1,1} - \varepsilon^{0,2}\right), \tag{A.2}$$

where the bracket in (A.2) is the natural extension of the Schouten bracket to the Dolbeault complex with coefficients in holomorphic multivector fields. One then shows [2, Lemma 6.11] that the key estimate (A.1) holds.

Given that this and the other tameness estimates are satisfied, Lemma A.1 implies that for any sufficiently small generalized complex deformation of $B_r \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ which vanishes at 0, there is a neighbourhood of zero in which it is equivalent, by a Courant automorphism, to a holomorphic Poisson structure.

A.2 Application to families of generalized complex structures

We now explain how to extend the results of Section A.1.4 in such a way that a smooth family of generalized complex structures parametrized by $S = [0,1]$ which have complex type at a point $p \in M$ is seen to be equivalent, in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of p, to a smooth family of holomorphic Poisson structures, proving Theorem 2.1. We are particularly interested in the case where the given family of structures is already of Poisson type at the boundary $\{0,1\}$ of the parameter space, in which case we want these to be fixed by the equivalence.

Working in families

Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n \times S$, with projection $\pi : X \longrightarrow S$ to the parameter space $S = [0,1]$, and let $V = \ker \pi_*$ be the relative tangent bundle. To describe geometric structures parametrized by S, we use the relative Courant algebroid $V \oplus V^*$ over X. A family of generalized complex structures parametrized by S is a complex structure on the bundle $V \oplus V^*$ which is integrable with respect to the vertical Courant bracket.

The given family of generalized complex structures defines precisely such a structure in a neighbourhood of the zero section $\{0\} \times X$. The relevant symmetries for such families are the relative Courant automorphisms, generated by diffeomorphisms φ of X such that $\pi\varphi = \pi$, together with B-field transformations by relatively closed vertical 2-forms.

We first apply a symmetry to ensure that the family of generalized complex structures is constant along the zero section $\{0\} \times X$, and agrees with the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^n$ along this locus. Then the same scaling argument from [2, Section 7], applied vertically to the family $X \longrightarrow S$, shows that any family of generalized complex structures parametrized by $S = [0,1]$, each member of which agrees at p with the standard structure on $\mathbb{C}^n$, there exists a tubular neighbourhood, $B_r \times S$, of $\{0\} \times S$ ($B_r \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ being a closed ball about 0) on which it is equivalent to an arbitrarily small family, ε, of generalized complex deformations of the constant family of standard complex structures on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Furthermore, ε vanishes along the zero section $\{0\} \times S$.

SCI spaces for families

As in Section A.1.4, what remains is to show that an arbitrarily small such deformation is equivalent (on a possibly smaller tubular neighbourhood) to

a family of holomorphic Poisson structures. We now explain how the Nash–Moser iteration scheme on SCI spaces can be adapted to this situation.

The SCI spaces used in Lemma A.1 were constructed as spaces of sections of vector bundles over closed balls $B_r \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. To work in families over S, we pull back these vector bundles to $X_r = B_r \times S$ and consider their sections over the total space. To ensure that our families are smooth, we use C^k norms over the total space X, rather than only over B_r as done in [2]. We set up the SCI spaces as follows, with restrictions to X_r understood:

– $\mathcal{T}$ consists of relative pre-integrable deformations

$$\varepsilon \in C^\infty(X, \wedge^2(V_{1,0} \oplus V_{0,1}^*)),$$

where $V_{1,0}$ and $V_{0,1}$ are the $+i$ and $-i$ eigenbundles, respectively, of the standard complex structure on the fibres of π.

– $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{T}$ are the above sections which satisfy the Maurer-Cartan equation.

– $\mathcal{F}$ are pre-integrable relative deformations of bivector type, with $\varepsilon^{1,1} = \varepsilon^{0,2} = 0$, so that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{I}$ are smooth families of holomorphic Poisson tensors,

– $\mathcal{G}$ are the local relative Courant automorphisms fixing $\{0\} \times S \subset X$ and which are trivial on the restriction of $V \oplus V^*$ to this locus,

– $\mathcal{V}$ are the infinitesimal relative symmetries given by sections $C^\infty(V \oplus V^*)$, and Φ is their time-1 flow.

The projection map $\pi : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is defined as before, and the infinitesimal correction operator $V : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is defined by (A.2), where we view P as the homotopy operator for the vertical Dolbeault complex.

In order to study families of deformations which are already in normal form at the boundary of the parameter space, we must consider the following subspaces of the SCI spaces defined above. First we let $\mathcal{T}_\partial \subset \mathcal{T}$ be the deformations ε for which the error $\zeta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{1,1} + \varepsilon^{0,2}$ vanishes on $B_r \times \partial S$. The appropriate symmetries in this case form an SCI subgroup $\mathcal{G}_\partial \subset \mathcal{G}$ of automorphisms which restrict to the identity at $B_r \times \partial S$. The corresponding infinitesimal symmetries are then the sections $\mathcal{V}_\partial \subset \mathcal{V}$ which vanish on $B_r \times \partial S$. The maps Φ and V defined above have well-defined restrictions to these subspaces, defining maps

$$\mathcal{I}_\partial \xrightarrow{V} \mathcal{V}_\partial \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{G}_\partial.$$

The Nash–Moser iteration requires smoothing operators for the SCI spaces defined above. To obtain these for the manifold with boundary X, we may

apply standard "doubling" arguments (see [6, II.1.3]). So, in order to carry over the results of Lemma A.1, we need only check the required estimates for the various maps defined above. After this verification (which we outline in Section A.2.1), we may conclude that the iteration provides a smooth family of automorphisms rendering each of the given generalized complex structures into a holomorphic Poisson structure, establishing Theorem 2.1.

A.2.1 Estimates

The proof of Theorem 1.1 involves two groups of estimates on C^k norms of tensors over $B_r \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. The first group of estimates, given in Lemmas 5.6–5.12 in [2], establish that the local Courant automorphisms $\mathcal{G}$ form an SCI group, and that their action on the deformations $\mathcal{T}$ defines an SCI group action. By the same arguments presented in [2], the same is true for relative Courant automorphisms and families of deformations. The second group of estimates, given in Lemmas 6.1–6.7 in [2], establish the necessary properties of the maps V, ζ, Φ among the SCI spaces. This last group includes the key estimate A.1. To establish these for families requires straightforward modifications to take into account derivatives in all directions in the total space $X = B_r \times S$ rather than just the vertical ones. To illustrate this we provide an example, showing how [2, Lemma 6.2] is extended to the families setting.

Let $\mathbb{J}$ be an involutive complex structure on $V \oplus V^*$ over a neighbourhood of the zero section in X, representing a family of generalized complex structures near the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ parametrized by S, and let $L \subset (V \oplus V^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be its $+i$ eigenbundle, which is a Lie algebroid using the Courant bracket. To extend [2, Lemma 6.2], we need a bound for the induced Schouten bracket on sections of $\wedge^\bullet L$. For α, β sections of $\wedge^\bullet L$, the bracket $[\alpha, \beta]$ is a pointwise bilinear function of the vertical 1-jets of α and β, and so *a fortiori* it is a pointwise bilinear function of their full 1-jets on X. Differentiating using the product rule, we obtain the bound

$$\|[\alpha, \beta]\|_k \leq C_k \|\alpha\|_{k+1} \|\beta\|_{k+1},$$

where all norms are now C^k norms over all of X. The right hand side is of type $\mathfrak{L}(\|\alpha\|_{k+1}, \|\beta\|_{k+1})$ in the notation of [2], as required for the SCI formalism.

References

[1] M. Abouzaid and M. Boyarchenko, *Local structure of generalized complex manifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. **4** (2006), no. 1, 43–62.

[2] M. Bailey, *Local classification of generalized complex structures*, J. Differential Geom. **95** (2013), no. 1, 1–37, arXiv:1201.4887.

[3] J. F. Conn, *Normal forms for smooth Poisson structures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **121** (1985), no. 3, 565–593.

[4] M. Gualtieri, *Branes on Poisson varieties*, The Many Facets of Geometry: A Tribute to Nigel Hitchin (S. S. J.-P. Bourguignon, O. Garcia-Prada, ed.), Oxford University Press, July 2010. arXiv:0710.2719.

[5] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 1, 75–123.

[6] R. S. Hamilton, *The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **7** (1982), no. 1, 65–222.

[7] N. Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Q. J. Math. **54** (2003), no. 3, 281–308.

[8] B. Malgrange, *Ideals of differentiable functions*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 3, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.

[9] E. Miranda, P. Monnier, and N. T. Zung, *Rigidity of Hamiltonian actions on Poisson manifolds*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 2, 1136–1179.

[10] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, http://stacks.math.columbia.edu, 2013.

[11] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra, Volume I*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1958. With the cooperation of I. S. Cohen.